

Middle School Topic Model Course II – Bundle 2 Interactions Within Ecosystems

This is the second bundle of the Middle School Topics Model Course II. Each bundle has connections to the other bundles in the course, as shown in the Course Flowchart.

Bundle 2 Question: This bundle is assembled to address the question "how do organisms and ecosystems interact?"

Summary

The bundle organizes performance expectations with a focus on helping students begin to understand interactions within ecosystems. Instruction developed from this bundle should always maintain the three-dimensional nature of the standards, and recognize that instruction is not limited to the practices and concepts directly linked with any of the bundle performance expectations.

Connections between bundle DCIs

Ecosystems are dynamic in nature. Organisms, and populations of organisms, are dependent on their environmental interactions both with other living things and nonliving factors (LS2.A as in MS-LS2-1). Disruptions to any physical or biological component of an ecosystem can lead to shifts in all its populations, (LS2.C as in MS-LS2-4) affecting biodiversity. The completeness or integrity of an ecosystem's biodiversity is often used as a measure of its health (LS2.C as in MS-LS2-5). Changes in biodiversity can also influence humans' resources, such as food, energy, and medicines, as well as ecosystem services that humans rely on (LS4.D as in MS-LS2-5).

In any ecosystem, organisms and populations with similar requirements for food, water, oxygen, or other resources may compete with each other for limited resources, access to which consequently constrains their growth and reproduction (LS2.A as in MS-LS2-1). Similarly, predatory interactions may reduce the number of organisms or eliminate whole populations of organisms. Mutually beneficial interactions, in contrast, may become so interdependent that each organism requires the other for survival (LS2.A as in MS-LS2-2) as shown through food webs, which are models that demonstrate how matter and energy is transferred between producers, consumers, and decomposers as the three groups interact within an ecosystem. Matter and energy transferring connects to the idea that the atoms that make up the organisms in an ecosystem are cycled repeatedly between the living and nonliving parts of the ecosystem (LS2.B as in MS-LS2-3), which in turn connects to how plants, algae, and many microorganisms use the process of photosynthesis (LS1.C as in MS-LS1-6). This chemical reaction requires an energy input (i.e., from sunlight), combining carbon dioxide and water to form carbon-based organic molecules and oxygen (PS3.D as in MS-LS1-6), which are used by consumers in the food web.

The engineering design idea that the more precisely a design task's criteria and constraints can be defined, the more likely it is that the designed solution will be successful (ETS1.A as in MS-ETS1-1) could connect to many different science concepts, including how plants, algae, and many microorganisms use the energy from light to make sugars from carbon dioxide and water through the process of photosynthesis, which also releases oxygen (LS1.C as in MS-LS1-6), or to how disruptions to any physical or biological component of an ecosystem can lead to shifts in all its populations (LS2.C as in MS-LS2-4). Connections could be made through engineering design tasks such as defining the criteria and constraints for using algae or other microorganisms to produce biofuels, or for minimizing disruptions to physical components of an ecosystem by minimizing soil erosion or runoff from a waste treatment plant.

The engineering design idea that there are systematic processes for evaluating solutions with respect to how well they meet the criteria and constraints of a problem (ETS1.B as in MS-ETS1-2) could connect to many different science concepts, including how plants, algae, and many microorganisms use the energy from light to make sugars from carbon dioxide and water through the process of photosynthesis (LS1.C as in MS-LS1-6), or how disruptions to any physical or biological component of an ecosystem can lead to shifts in all its populations (LS2.C as in MS-LS2-4). Connections could be made through engineering design tasks such as evaluating how well solutions meet the identified criteria and constraints for how well various plants work in making biofuels or for how well a local wildlife

sanctuary or highway minimizes the impact on organisms.

Bundle Science and Engineering Practices

Instruction leading to this bundle of PEs will help students build toward proficiency in elements of the practices of defining problems (MS-ETS1-1), developing models (MS-LS2-3), analyzing and interpreting data (MS-LS2-1), constructing explanations (MS-LS1-6 and MS-LS2-2), and engaging in argument (MS-LS2-4, MS-LS2-5, and MS-ETS1-2). Many other practice elements can be used in instruction.

Bundle Crosscutting Concepts

Instruction leading to this bundle of PEs will help students build toward proficiency in elements of the crosscutting concepts of Patterns (MS-LS2-2), Cause and Effect (MS-LS2-1), Energy and Matter (MS-LS1-6 and MS-LS2-3), and Stability and Change (MS-LS2-4 and MS-LS2-5). Many other crosscutting concept elements can be used in instruction.

All instruction should be three-dimensional.

| All instruction should be infee-all | |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| Performance Expectations | MS-LS1-6. Construct a scientific explanation based on evidence for the role of photosynthesis in the cycling of matter and flow of energy into and out of organisms. [Clarification Statement: Emphasis is on tracing movement of matter and flow of energy.] [Assessment Boundary: Assessment does not include the biochemical mechanisms of photosynthesis.] |
| | MS-LS2-1. Analyze and interpret data to provide evidence for the effects of resource availability on organisms and populations of organisms in an ecosystem. [Clarification Statement: Emphasis is on cause and effect relationships between resources and growth of individual organisms and the numbers of organisms in ecosystems during periods of abundant and scarce resources.] |
| | MS-LS2-2. Construct an explanation that predicts patterns of interactions among organisms across multiple ecosystems. [Clarification Statement: Emphasis is on predicting consistent patterns of interactions in different ecosystems in terms of the relationships among and between organisms and abiotic components of ecosystems. Examples of types of interactions could include competitive, predatory, and mutually beneficial.] |
| | MS-LS2-3. Develop a model to describe the cycling of matter and flow of energy among living and nonliving parts of an ecosystem. [Clarification Statement: Emphasis is on describing the conservation of matter and flow of energy into and out of various ecosystems, and on defining the boundaries of the system.] [Assessment Boundary: Assessment does not include the use of chemical reactions to describe the processes.] |
| | MS-LS2-4. Construct an argument supported by empirical evidence that changes to physical or biological components of an ecosystem affect populations. [Clarification Statement: Emphasis is on recognizing patterns in data and making warranted inferences about changes in populations, and on evaluating empirical evidence supporting arguments about changes to ecosystems.] |
| | MS-LS2-5. Evaluate competing design solutions for maintaining biodiversity and ecosystem services.* [Clarification Statement: Examples of ecosystem services could include water purification, nutrient recycling, and prevention of soil erosion. Examples of design solution constraints could include scientific, economic, and social considerations.] |
| | MS-ETS1-1. Define the criteria and constraints of a design problem with sufficient precision to ensure a successful solution, taking into account relevant scientific principles and potential impacts on people and the natural environment that may limit possible solutions. |
| | MS-ETS1-2. Evaluate competing design solutions using a systematic process to determine how well they meet the criteria and constraints of the problem. |

| Example Phenomena | Goldfish grow larger in ponds than in fish tanks. |
|-------------------------------|---|
| | Cows can survive and grow only eating grass and drinking water. |
| Additional Practices Building | Asking Questions and Defining Problems |
| to the PEs | • Ask questions to determine relationships between independent and dependent variables and relationships in models. Students could <i>ask questions to determine relationships between the atoms that make up</i> [one part of] <i>an ecosystem and the atoms that make up</i> [another part of the] <i>ecosystem</i> . MS-LS2-3 |
| | Developing and Using Models |
| | • Develop a model to describe unobservable mechanisms. |
| | Students could develop a model to describe [that] plants, algae, and many microorganisms use the energy from light to make sugars from carbon dioxide from the atmosphere and water through the process of photosynthesis, which also releases oxygen. MS-LS1-6 |
| | Planning and Carrying Out Investigations |
| | • Collect data to serve as the basis for evidence to answer scientific questions or test design solutions under a range of conditions. |
| | Students could collect data to serve as the basis for evidence [that] organisms and populations of organisms are dependent on their environmental interactions both with other living things and with nonliving factors. MS-LS2-1 |
| | Analyzing and Interpreting Data |
| | • Distinguish between causal and correlational relationships in data. |
| | Students could distinguish between causal and correlational relationships in data [on] mutually beneficial interactions in ecosystems. MS-LS2-2 |
| | Using Mathematical and Computational Thinking |
| | • Use digital tools (e.g., computers) to analyze very large data sets for patterns and trends. |
| | Students could use digital tools to analyze very large data sets for patterns and trends [related to the dependence of] organisms on their environmental interactions both with other living things and with nonliving factors. MS-LS2-1 |
| | Constructing Explanations and Designing Solutions |
| | • Undertake a design project, engaging in the design cycle, to construct and/or implement a solution that meets specific design criteria and constraints. |
| | Students could <i>undertake a design project that meets specific design criteria and constraints</i> [for a solution to the problem that] <i>disruptions to any physical or biological component of an ecosystem can lead to shifts in all its populations</i> . MS-LS2-4 |
| | |

| Additional Practices Building | Engaging in Argument from Evidence | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|--|
| to the PEs (Continued) | Compare and critique two arguments on the same topic and analyze whether they emphasize similar or different evidence | | |
| | and/or interpretations of facts. | | |
| | Students could compare and critique two arguments [for how] <i>changes in biodiversity can influence humans' resources</i> , and analyze whether the arguments emphasize similar or different evidence. MS-LS2-5 | | |
| | analyze whether the arguments emphasize similar or different evidence. MS-LS2-5 | | |
| | Obtaining, Evaluating, and Communicating Information | | |
| | • Critically read scientific texts adapted for classroom use to obtain scientific and/or technical information to describe | | |
| | evidence about the natural and designed world(s). | | |
| | Students could critically read scientific texts to obtain scientific information to describe evidence [that] in any ecosystem, | | |
| | organisms and populations with similar requirements for food, water, oxygen, and other resources may compete with each other for limited resources, access to which consequently constrains their growth and reproduction. MS-LS2-1 | | |
| Additional Crosscutting | Cause and Effect | | |
| Concepts Building to the PEs | • Relationships can be classified as causal or correlational, and correlation does not necessarily imply causation. | | |
| | Students could evaluate scientific information about <i>relationships</i> [between] <i>changes in biodiversity</i> [and] <i>humans' resources</i> | | |
| | to determine if the relationship can be classified as causal or correlational. MS-LS2-5 | | |
| | Systems and System Models | | |
| | • Models can be used to represent systems and their interactions—such as inputs, processes and outputs—and energy, matter, | | |
| | and information flows within systems. | | |
| | Students could develop and use a model to represent systems and their inputs, process, and outputs as energy and matter is | | |
| | transferred between producers, consumers, and decomposers in ecosystems. MS-LS2-3 | | |
| | Stability and Change | | |
| | • Stability might be disturbed either by sudden events or gradual changes that accumulate over time. | | |
| | Students could obtain, evaluate, and communicate information about how stability [of an] ecosystem might be disturbed by | | |
| | sudden events, [including by] predatory interactions of organisms. MS-LS2-2 | | |
| Additional Connections to | Scientific Knowledge is Based on Empirical Evidence | | |
| Nature of Science | • Science disciplines share common rules of obtaining and evaluating empirical evidence. | | |
| | Students could construct an argument for how science disciplines share common rules of obtaining and evaluating empirical | | |
| | evidence [using as an example the rules biologists use to] measure the health of an ecosystem. MS-LS2-5 | | |
| | Science is a Way of Knowing | | |
| | • Science knowledge is cumulative and many people, from many generations and nations, have contributed to science | | |
| | knowledge. | | |
| | Students could obtain, evaluate, and communicate information for how science knowledge is cumulative and many people, | | |
| | from many generations and nations, have contributed to [knowledge of how] disruptions to any physical or biological | | |
| | component of an ecosystem can lead to shifts in all its populations. MS-LS2-4 | | |

MS-LS1-6 From Molecules to Organisms: Structures and Processes

Students who demonstrate understanding can:

MS-LS1-6. Construct a scientific explanation based on evidence for the role of photosynthesis in the cycling of matter and flow of energy into and out of organisms. [Clarification Statement: Emphasis is on tracing movement of matter and flow of energy.] [Assessment Boundary: Assessment does not include the biochemical mechanisms of photosynthesis.]

The performance expectation above was developed using the following elements from the NRC document A Framework for K-12 Science Education:

Science and Engineering Practices

Constructing Explanations and Designing Solutions

Constructing explanations and designing solutions in 6–8 builds on K–5 experiences and progresses to include constructing explanations and designing solutions supported by multiple sources of evidence consistent with scientific knowledge, principles, and theories.

 Construct a scientific explanation based on valid and reliable evidence obtained from sources (including the students' own experiments) and the assumption that theories and laws that describe the natural world operate today as they did in the past and will continue to do so in the future.

Connections to Nature of Science

Scientific Knowledge is Based on Empirical Evidence

 Science knowledge is based upon logical connections between evidence and explanations.

Disciplinary Core Ideas

LS1.C: Organization for Matter and Energy Flow in Organisms

Plants, algae (including phytoplankton), and many microorganisms use the energy from light to make sugars (food) from carbon dioxide from the atmosphere and water through the process of photosynthesis, which also releases oxygen. These sugars can be used immediately or stored for growth or later use.

PS3.D: Energy in Chemical Processes and Everyday Life

The chemical reaction by which plants produce complex food molecules (sugars) requires an energy input (i.e., from sunlight) to occur. In this reaction, carbon dioxide and water combine to form carbon-based organic molecules and release oxygen. (secondary)

Crosscutting Concepts

Energy and Matter

 Within a natural system, the transfer of energy drives the motion and/or cycling of matter.

| Ok | Observable features of the student performance by the end of the course: | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| 1 Articulating the explanation of phenomena | | | | | |
| | а | Students articulate a statement that relates the given phenomenon to a scientific idea, including the idea that photosynthesis results in the cycling of matter and energy into and out of organisms. | | | |
| b Students use evidence and reasoning to construct a scientific explanation for the given phenomenon. | | | | | |
| 2 | Evidence | | | | |
| reading material, archived data) necessary to constructing the explanation, in i. Plants, algae, and photosynthetic microorganisms require energy (in must take in carbon dioxide and water to survive. ii. Energy from sunlight is used to combine simple nonfood molecules (e water) into food molecules (e.g., sugar) and oxygen, which can be us | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| iii. Animals take in food and oxygen to provide energy and materials | | iii. Animals take in food and oxygen to provide energy and materials for growth and survival. | | | |
| | | iv. Some animals eat plants, algae, and photosynthetic microorganisms, and some animals eat other animals, which have themselves eaten photosynthetic organisms. | | | |
| | b | Students use multiple valid and reliable sources of evidence. | | | |

| 3 | Rea | easoning | | | | |
|-------------------|-----|--|--|--|--|--|
| | а | | ents use reasoning, along with the assumption that theories and laws that describe the natural | | | |
| | | world operate today as they did in the past and will continue to do so in the future, to connect the | | | | |
| | | evide | ence and support an explanation for energy and matter cycling during photosynthesis. Students | | | |
| | | desc | ribe* a chain of reasoning for their explanation, including: | | | |
| | | i. | Plants, algae, and photosynthetic microorganisms take in matter (in the form of carbon | | | |
| | | | dioxide and water) and use energy from the sun to produce carbon-based organic molecules | | | |
| | | | (food), which they can use immediately or store, and release oxygen into the environment | | | |
| | | | through photosynthesis. | | | |
| | | ii. | Plants use the food they have made for energy, growth, and other necessary functions (e.g., | | | |
| repair, seed prod | | | repair, seed production). | | | |
| | | iii. | Animals depend on matter from plants for growth and survival, including: | | | |
| | | | 1. Eating photosynthetic organisms (or other organisms that have eaten photosynthetic | | | |
| | | | organisms), thus acquiring the matter they contain, the production of which was driven | | | |
| | | | by photosynthesis. | | | |
| | | | 2. Breathing in oxygen, which was released when plants used energy to rearrange carbon | | | |
| | | | dioxide and water during photosynthesis. | | | |
| | | iv. | Because animals acquire their food from photosynthetic organisms (or from other animals that | | | |
| | | | have eaten those organisms) and their oxygen from the products of photosynthesis, all food | | | |
| | | | and most of the oxygen animals use for life processes are the results of energy from the sun | | | |
| | | | driving matter flows through the process of photosynthesis. | | | |
| | | v. | The process of photosynthesis has an important role in energy and matter cycling within | | | |
| | | | plants (i.e., the conversion of carbon dioxide and water into complex carbon-based molecules | | | |
| | | | (sugars) and oxygen, the contribution of sugars to plant growth and internal processes) as | | | |
| | | | well as from plants to other organisms. | | | |

MS-LS2-1 **Ecosystems: Interactions, Energy, and Dynamics**

Students who demonstrate understanding can:

MS-LS2-1. Analyze and interpret data to provide evidence for the effects of resource availability on organisms and populations of organisms in an ecosystem. [Clarification Statement: Emphasis is on cause and effect relationships between resources and growth of individual organisms and the numbers of organisms in ecosystems during periods of abundant and scarce resources.]

The performance expectation above was developed using the following elements from the NRC document A Framework for K-12 Science Education:

Science and Engineering

Practices

Analyzing and Interpreting Data Analyzing data in 6-8 builds on K-5 experiences and progresses to extending quantitative analysis to investigations, distinguishing between correlation and causation, and basic statistical techniques of data and error analysis.

Analyze and interpret data to provide evidence for phenomena.

Disciplinary Core Ideas

LS2.A: Interdependent Relationships in Ecosystems

- Organisms, and populations of • organisms, are dependent on their environmental interactions both with other living things and with nonliving factors.
- In any ecosystem, organisms and • populations with similar requirements for food, water, oxygen, or other resources may compete with each other for limited resources, access to which consequently constrains their growth and reproduction.
- Growth of organisms and population . increases are limited by access to resources.

| С | ause and Effect | |
|---|--------------------------------|--|
| • | Cause and effect relationships | |
| | may be used to predict | |

.

Crosscutting Concepts

phenomena in natural or designed systems.

| Ok | Observable features of the student performance by the end of the course: | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | Organizing data | | | | |
| | а | Students organize the given data (e.g., using tables, graphs, and charts) to allow for analysis and interpretation of relationships between resource availability and organisms in an ecosystem, including: | | | |
| | | Populations (e.g., sizes, reproduction rates, growth information) of organisms as a function of resource availability. | | | |
| | | ii. Growth of individual organisms as a function of resource availability. | | | |
| 2 | | | | | |
| | а | Students analyze the organized data to determine the relationships between the size of a population, the growth and survival of individual organisms, and resource availability. | | | |
| | b | Students determine whether the relationships provide evidence of a causal link between these | | | |
| | | factors. | | | |
| 3 | 3 Interpreting data | | | | |
| relationships between resource availability, organisms, and organism populations. Students | | Students analyze and interpret the organized data to make predictions based on evidence of causal relationships between resource availability, organisms, and organism populations. Students make relevant predictions, including: | | | |
| | | i. Changes in the amount and availability of a given resource (e.g., less food) may result in changes in the population of an organism (e.g., less food results in fewer organisms). | | | |
| | | ii. Changes in the amount or availability of a resource (e.g., more food) may result in changes in the growth of individual organisms (e.g., more food results in faster growth). | | | |
| | | iii. Resource availability drives competition among organisms, both within a population as well as between populations. | | | |
| | | iv. Resource availability may have effects on a population's rate of reproduction. | | | |
| | | | | | |

MS-LS2-2 Ecosystems: Interactions, Energy, and Dynamics

Students who demonstrate understanding can:

MS-LS2-2. Construct an explanation that predicts patterns of interactions among organisms across multiple ecosystems. [Clarification Statement: Emphasis is on predicting consistent patterns of interactions in different ecosystems in terms of the relationships among and between organisms and abiotic components of ecosystems. Examples of types of interactions could include competitive, predatory, and mutually beneficial.]

The performance expectation above was developed using the following elements from the NRC document A Framework for K-12 Science Education:

Science and Engineering

Practices

Constructing Explanations and Designing Solutions Constructing explanations and designing solutions in 6–8 builds on K–5 experiences and progresses to include constructing explanations and designing solutions supported by multiple sources of evidence consistent with scientific ideas, principles, and theories.

 Construct an explanation that includes qualitative or quantitative relationships between variables that predict phenomena.

Disciplinary Core Ideas

LS2.A: Interdependent Relationships in Ecosystems

Similarly, predatory interactions may reduce the number of organisms or eliminate whole populations of organisms. Mutually beneficial interactions, in contrast, may become so interdependent that each organism requires the other for survival. Although the species involved in these competitive, predatory, and mutually beneficial interactions vary across ecosystems, the patterns of interactions of organisms with their environments, both living and nonliving, are shared.

Crosscutting Concepts

Patterns

• Patterns can be used to identify cause and effect relationships.

| 1 | Artio a b | vable features of the student performance by the end of the course: culating the explanation of phenomena Students articulate a statement that relates the given phenomenon to a scientific idea, including that similar patterns of interactions occur between organisms and their environment, regardless of the ecosystem or the species involved. Students use evidence and reasoning to construct an explanation for the given phenomenon. dence Students identify and describe* the evidence (e.g., from students' own investigations, observations, |
|---|--|---|
| 2 | a b Evio | Students articulate a statement that relates the given phenomenon to a scientific idea, including that similar patterns of interactions occur between organisms and their environment, regardless of the ecosystem or the species involved. Students use evidence and reasoning to construct an explanation for the given phenomenon. |
| 2 | b Evic | similar patterns of interactions occur between organisms and their environment, regardless of the ecosystem or the species involved. Students use evidence and reasoning to construct an explanation for the given phenomenon. dence |
| 2 | Evic | dence |
| | | |
| | а | Students identify and describe* the ovidence (e.g., from students' own investigations, observations |
| | | i. Competitive relationships occur when organisms within an ecosystem compete for shared resources (e.g., data about the change in population of a given species when a competing species is introduced). ii. Predatory interactions occur between organisms within an ecosystem. Organisms involved in these mutually beneficial interactions can become so dependent upon one another that they cannot survive alone. iv. Resource availability, or lack thereof, can affect interactions between organisms (e.g., organisms in a resource-limited environment may have a competitive relationship, while those same organisms may not be in competition in a resource-rich environment). v. Competitive, predatory, and mutually beneficial interactions occur across multiple, different, ecosystems |
| | b Students use multiple valid and reliable sources for the evidence. | |
| 3 | Rea | asoning |
| | | Students identify and describe* quantitative or qualitative patterns of interactions among organisms that can be used to identify causal relationships within ecosystems, related to the given phenomenon. |

| | b | Students describe* that regardless of the ecosystem or species involved, the patterns of interactions (competitive, mutually beneficial, predator/prey) are similar. |
|----------------------------|---|--|
| | С | Students use reasoning to connect the evidence and support an explanation. In their reasoning, students use patterns in the evidence to predict common interactions among organisms in ecosystems as they relate to the phenomenon, (e.g., given specific organisms in a given environment with specified resource availability, which organisms in the system will exhibit competitive interactions). Students predict the following types of interactions: |
| i. Predatory interactions. | | i. Predatory interactions. |
| | | ii. Competitive interactions. |
| | | iii. Mutually beneficial interactions. |

MS-LS2-3 Ecosystems: Interactions, Energy, and Dynamics

Students who demonstrate understanding can:

MS-LS2-3. Develop a model to describe the cycling of matter and flow of energy among living and nonliving parts of an ecosystem. [Clarification Statement: Emphasis is on describing the conservation of matter and flow of energy into and out of various ecosystems, and on defining the boundaries of the system.] [Assessment Boundary: Assessment does not include the use of chemical reactions to describe the processes.]

The performance expectation above was developed using the following elements from the NRC document A Framework for K-12 Science Education:

Science and Engineering Practices

Disciplinary Core Ideas

LS2.B: Cycle of Matter and Energy Transfer in Ecosystems

- **Developing and Using Models** Modeling in 6–8 builds on K–5 experiences and progresses to developing, using, and revising models to describe, test, and predict more abstract phenomena and design systems.
- Develop a model to describe phenomena.
- Food webs are models that demonstrate how matter and energy is transferred between producers, consumers, and decomposers as the three groups interact within an ecosystem. Transfers of matter into and out of the physical environment occur at every level. Decomposers recycle nutrients from dead plant or animal matter back to the soil in terrestrial environments or to the water in aquatic environments. The atoms that make up the organisms in an ecosystem are cycled repeatedly between the living and nonliving parts of the ecosystem.

Crosscutting Concepts

Energy and Matter

 The transfer of energy can be tracked as energy flows through a natural system.

Connections to Nature of Science

Scientific Knowledge Assumes an Order and Consistency in Natural Systems

• Science assumes that objects and events in natural systems occur in consistent patterns that are understandable through measurement and observation.

| Ok | Observable features of the student performance by the end of the course: | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | Con | omponents of the model | | | |
| | а | To make sense of a given phenomenon, students develop a model in which they identify the relevant | | | |
| | | components, including: | | | |
| | | i. Organisms that can be classified as producers, consumers, and/or decomposers. | | | |
| | | ii. Nonliving parts of an ecosystem (e.g., water, minerals, air) that can provide matter to living | | | |
| | | organisms or receive matter from living organisms. | | | |
| | | iii. Energy | | | |
| | b | | | | |
| | of a forest, meadow; a whole forest, which contains a meadow, pond, and stream). | | | | |
| 2 | Rela | elationships | | | |
| a In the model, students describe* relationships between components within the ec | | In the model, students describe* relationships between components within the ecosystem, including: | | | |
| | | i. Energy transfer into and out of the system. | | | |
| | | ii. Energy transfer and matter cycling (cycling of atoms): | | | |
| | | 1. Among producers, consumers, and decomposers (e.g., decomposers break down | | | |
| | | consumers and producers via chemical reactions and use the energy released from | | | |
| | | rearranging those molecules for growth and development). | | | |
| | | 2. Between organisms and the nonliving parts of the system (e.g., producers use matter | | | |
| | | from the nonliving parts of the ecosystem and energy from the sun to produce food from | | | |
| | | nonfood materials). | | | |
| 3 | Con | inections | | | |
| | а | Students use the model to describe* the cycling of matter and flow of energy among living and | | | |
| | | nonliving parts of the defined system, including: | | | |

| | i. When organisms consume other organisms, there is a transfer of energy and a cycling of atoms that were originally captured from the nonliving parts of the ecosystem by producers. |
|---|---|
| | ii. The transfer of matter (atoms) and energy between living and nonliving parts of the ecosystem at every level within the system, which allows matter to cycle and energy to flow within and outside of the system. |
| b | Students use the model to track energy transfer and matter cycling in the system based on consistent and measureable patterns, including: |
| | That the atoms that make up the organisms in an ecosystem are cycled repeatedly between the living and nonliving parts of the ecosystem. |
| | ii. That matter and energy are conserved through transfers within and outside of the ecosystem. |

MS-LS2-4 Ecosystems: Interactions, Energy, and Dynamics

Students who demonstrate understanding can:

MS-LS2-4. Construct an argument supported by empirical evidence that changes to physical or biological components of an ecosystem affect populations. [Clarification Statement: Emphasis is on recognizing patterns in data and making warranted inferences about changes in populations, and on evaluating empirical evidence supporting arguments about changes to ecosystems.]

| The performance expectation above was developed using the following elements from the NRC document A Framework for K-12 Science Education: | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| The performance expectation above was developed using the sequence of the performance of the performanc | the following elements from the NRC document A Disciplinary Core Ideas LS2.C: Ecosystem Dynamics, Functioning, and Resilience Ecosystems are dynamic in nature; their characteristics can vary over time. Disruptions to any physical or biological component of an ecosystem can lead to shifts in all its populations. | A Framework for K-12 Science Education: Crosscutting Concepts Stability and Change • Small changes in one part of a system might cause large changes in another part. | | | | | |

| Ob | bserv | able features of the student performance by the end of the course: | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | Sup | Supported claims | | | |
| | а | | | | |
| their claim, students include the idea that changes to physical or biological components of | | | | | |
| ecosystem can affect the populations living there. | | | | | |
| 2 | Ider | dentifying scientific evidence | | | |
| | a Students identify and describe* the given evidence (e.g., evidence from data, scientific literat | | | | |
| | | needed for supporting the claim, including evidence about: | | | |
| | | Changes in the physical or biological components of an ecosystem, including the magnitude of the changes (e.g., data about rainfall, fires, predator removal, species introduction). | | | |
| | | ii. Changes in the populations of an ecosystem, including the magnitude of the changes (e.g., | | | |
| | | changes in population size, types of species present, and relative prevalence of a species | | | |
| | | within the ecosystem). | | | |
| | | iii. Evidence of causal and correlational relationships between changes in the components of an | | | |
| | | ecosystem with the changes in populations. | | | |
| | b | Students use multiple valid and reliable sources of evidence. | | | |
| 3 | 3 Evaluating and critiquing the evidence | | | | |
| | а | Students evaluate the given evidence, identifying the necessary and sufficient evidence for | | | |
| | | supporting the claim. | | | |
| | b | Students identify alternative interpretations of the evidence and describe* why the evidence supports | | | |
| | | the student's claim. | | | |
| 4 | Rea | asoning and synthesis | | | |
| | а | Students use reasoning to connect the appropriate evidence to the claim and construct an oral or | | | |
| | | written argument about the causal relationship between physical and biological components of an | | | |
| | | | | | |

| | ystem and changes in organism populations, based on patterns in the evidence. In the ment, students describe* a chain of reasoning that includes: |
|------|---|
| i. | Specific changes in the physical or biological components of an ecosystem cause changes that can affect the survival and reproductive likelihood of organisms within that ecosystem (e.g., scarcity of food or the elimination of a predator will alter the survival and reproductive probability of some organisms). |
| ii. | Factors that affect the survival and reproduction of organisms can cause changes in the populations of those organisms. |
| iii. | Patterns in the evidence suggest that many different types of changes (e.g., changes in multiple types of physical and biological components) are correlated with changes in organism populations. |
| iv. | Several consistent correlational patterns, along with the understanding of specific causal relationships between changes in the components of an ecosystem and changes in the survival and reproduction of organisms, suggest that many changes in physical or biological components of ecosystems can cause changes in populations of organisms. |
| V. | Some small changes in physical or biological components of an ecosystem are associated with large changes in a population, suggesting that small changes in one component of an ecosystem can cause large changes in another component. |

MS-LS2-5 Ecosystems: Interactions, Energy, and Dynamics

Students who demonstrate understanding can:

MS-LS2-5. Evaluate competing design solutions for maintaining biodiversity and ecosystem services.* [Clarification Statement: Examples of ecosystem services could include water purification, nutrient recycling, and prevention of soil erosion. Examples of design solution constraints could include scientific, economic, and social considerations.]

| The performance expectation above was developed | using the following elements from the NRC of | document A Framework for K-12 Science Education: |
|---|--|--|
| Science and Engineering Practices Engaging in Argument from Evidence Engaging in argument from evidence in 6–8 builds on K–5 experiences and progresses to constructing a convincing argument that supports or refutes claims for either explanations or solutions about the natural and designed world(s). Evaluate competing design solutions based on jointly developed and agreed-upon design criteria. | Disciplinary Core Ideas LS2.C: Ecosystem Dynamics, Functioning, and Resilience Biodiversity describes the variety of species found in Earth's terrestrial and oceanic ecosystems. The completeness or integrity of an ecosystem's biodiversity is often used as a measure of its health. | Crosscutting Concepts Stability and Change Small changes in one part of a system might cause large changes in another part. Connections to Engineering, Technology, and Applications of Science |
| | LS4.D: Biodiversity and Humans Changes in biodiversity can influence humans' resources, such as food, energy, and medicines, as well as ecosystem services that humans rely on—for example, water purification and recycling. (secondary) ETS1.B: Developing Possible Solutions There are systematic processes for evaluating solutions with respect to how well they meet the criteria and constraints of a problem. (secondary) | Influence of Science, Engineering, and Technology on Society and the Natural World The use of technologies and any limitations on their use are driven by individual or societal needs, desires, and values; by the findings of scientific research; and by differences in such factors as climate, natural resources, and economic conditions. Thus technology use varies from region to region and over time. Connections to Nature of Science Science Addresses Questions About the Natural and Material World Scientific knowledge can describe the consequences of actions but does not necessarily prescribe the decisions that society takes. |

| Ob | Observable features of the student performance by the end of the course: | | | |
|----|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | Ider | entifying the given design solution and supporting evidence | | |
| | а | Students identify and describe*: | | |
| | | i. The given competing design solutions for maintaining biodiversity and ecosystem services. | | |
| | | ii. The given problem involving biodiversity and/or ecosystem services that is being solved by | | |
| | | the given design solutions, including information about why biodiversity and/or ecosystem | | |
| | | services are necessary to maintaining a healthy ecosystem. | | |
| | | iii. The given evidence about performance of the given design solutions. | | |
| 2 | Ider | ntifying any potential additional evidence that is relevant to the evaluation | | |
| | а | Students identify and describe* the additional evidence (in the form of data, information, or other | | |
| | | appropriate forms) that is relevant to the problem, design solutions, and evaluation of the solutions, | | |
| | | including: | | |
| | | The variety of species (biodiversity) found in the given ecosystem. | | |
| | | ii. Factors that affect the stability of the biodiversity of the given ecosystem. | | |

| iii. Ecosystem services (e.g., water purification, nutrient recycling, prevention of soil er affect the stability of the system. | | | | |
|--|-----|--|--|--|
| | b | Stude soluti | ents collaboratively define and describe* criteria and constraints for the evaluation of the design | |
| 3 | Eva | aluating and critiquing the design solution | | |
| C | a | In their evaluations, students use scientific evidence to: | | |
| | | i. | Compare the ability of each of the competing design solutions to maintain ecosystem stability and biodiversity. | |
| | | ii. | Clarify the strengths and weaknesses of the competing designs with respect to each criterion and constraint (e.g., scientific, social, and economic considerations). | |
| | | iii. | Assess possible side effects of the given design solutions on other aspects of the ecosystem, including the possibility that a small change in one component of an ecosystem can produce a large change in another component of the ecosystem. | |

MS-ETS1-1 Engineering Design

Students who demonstrate understanding can:

MS-ETS1-1. Define the criteria and constraints of a design problem with sufficient precision to ensure a successful solution, taking into account relevant scientific principles and potential impacts on people and the natural environment that may limit possible solutions.

| The performance expectation above was developed using the following elements from the NRC document A Framework for K-12 Science Education: | | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| Science and Engineering Practices Asking Questions and Defining Problems Asking questions and defining problems in grades 6–8 builds on grades K–5 experiences and progresses to specifying relationships between variables, and clarifying arguments and models. Define a design problem that can be solved through the development of an object, tool, process or system and includes multiple criteria and constraints, including scientific knowledge that may limit possible solutions. | Disciplinary Core Ideas ETS1.A: Defining and Delimiting Engineering Problems The more precisely a design task's criteria and constraints can be defined, the more likely it is that the designed solution will be successful. Specification of constraints includes consideration of scientific principles and other relevant knowledge that are likely to limit possible solutions. | Crosscutting Concepts Influence of Science, Engineering, and Technology on Society and the Natural World All human activity draws on natural resources and has both short and long-term consequences, positive as well as negative, for the health of people and the natural environment. The uses of technologies and limitations on their use are driven by individual or societal needs, desires, and values; by the findings of scientific research; and by differences in such factors as climate, natural resources, and economic conditions. | |

| Ok | r | vable features of the student performance by the end of the course: | | |
|----|---|---|--|--|
| 1 | Identifying the problem to be solved | | | |
| l | | | | |
| | а | | | |
| 2 | Det | or system. | | |
| 2 | Defining the process or system boundaries and the components of the process or system | | | |
| | а | Students identify the system in which the problem is embedded, including the major components and | | |
| | | relationships in the system and its boundaries, to clarify what is and is not part of the problem. In their | | |
| | | definition of the system, students include: | | |
| | | i. Which individuals or groups need this problem to be solved. | | |
| | | ii. The needs that must be met by solving the problem. | | |
| | | iii. Scientific issues that are relevant to the problem. | | |
| | | iv. Potential societal and environmental impacts of solutions. | | |
| | | v. The relative importance of the various issues and components of the process or system. | | |
| 3 | | | | |
| | а | Students define criteria that must be taken into account in the solution that: | | |
| | i. Meet the needs of the individuals or groups who may be affected by the problem (in | | | |
| | | defining who will be the target of the solution). | | |
| | | ii. Enable comparisons among different solutions, including quantitative considerations when | | |
| | | appropriate. | | |
| | b | Students define constraints that must be taken into account in the solution, including: | | |
| | | i. Time, materials, and costs. | | |
| | | ii. Scientific or other issues that are relevant to the problem. | | |
| | | iii. Needs and desires of the individuals or groups involved that may limit acceptable solutions. | | |
| | | iv. Safety considerations. | | |
| | | v. Potential effect(s) on other individuals or groups. | | |
| | | vi. Potential negative environmental effects of possible solutions or failure to solve the problem. | | |
| | I | | | |

MS-ETS1-2 Engineering Design

Students who demonstrate understanding can:

MS-ETS1-2. Evaluate competing design solutions using a systematic process to determine how well they meet the criteria and constraints of the problem.

| The performance expectation above was developed using the following elements from the NRC document A Framework for K-12 Science Education: | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------|--|
| Science and Engineering Practices Engaging in Argument from Evidence Engaging in argument from evidence in 6– 8 builds on K–5 experiences and progresses to constructing a convincing argument that supports or refutes claims for either explanations or solutions about the natural and designed world. Evaluate competing design solutions based on jointly developed and agreed-upon design criteria. | Disciplinary Core Ideas ETS1.B: Developing Possible Solutions • There are systematic processes for evaluating solutions with respect to how well they meet the criteria and constraints of a problem. | Crosscutting Concepts | |

| Ob | oser | vable features of the student performance by the end of the course: | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|
| 1 | 1 Identifying the given design solution and associated claims and evidence | | | |
| | a Students identify the given supported design solution. | | | |
| | b | Students identify scientific knowledge related to the problem and each proposed solution. | | |
| | С | Students identify how each solution would solve the problem. | | |
| 2 | Identifying additional evidence | | | |
| | а | Students identify and describe* additional evidence necessary for their evaluation, including: | | |
| | | i. Knowledge of how similar problems have been solved in the past. | | |
| | | ii. Evidence of possible societal and environmental impacts of each proposed solution. | | |
| | b | Students collaboratively define and describe* criteria and constraints for the evaluation of the design | | |
| | | solution. | | |
| 3 | Eva | aluating and critiquing evidence | | |
| | a Students use a systematic method (e.g., a decision matrix) to identify the strengths and weakness | | | |
| of each solution. In their evaluation, students: | | | | |
| | | i. Evaluate each solution against each criterion and constraint. | | |
| | | ii. Compare solutions based on the results of their performance against the defined criteria and | | |
| | | constraints. | | |
| | b | Students use the evidence and reasoning to make a claim about the relative effectiveness of each | | |
| | | proposed solution based on the strengths and weaknesses of each. | | |